

26

27

28

JAN 1 8 2022

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Deputy

Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS **ACTION SETTLEMENT AND** CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS

December 3, 2021

712853564909

July 20, 2018

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff KIMBERLEE FACIANE ("Plaintiff") and Defendant SAFEWAY, INC. ("Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant, collectively, the "Parties") have reached terms of settlement for a putative class action.

Plaintiff has filed a motion for preliminary approval of a class action settlement of the claims asserted against Defendant in this action, memorialized in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Settlement Agreement (*see* Declaration of Shaun Setareh In Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Certification of Settlement Class ("Setareh Declaration") ¶ 12, Exhibit 1) and the Stipulation to Modify Settlement Procedures (*see* Setareh Declaration, ¶ 22, Exhibit 4). These Stipulations, together, are referred to hereinafter as the "Agreement" or "Settlement."

After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice of Class Action Settlement, and other related documents, and having heard the argument of Counsel for respective Parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the proposed class action Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. In granting preliminary approval of the class action Settlement, the Court has considered the factors identified in *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, as approved in *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 224 and *In re Microsoft I-V Cases* (2006) 135 Cal.App.4th 706.
- 2. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the action. The Court finds that the risks of further prosecution are substantial.
- 3. The Parties' Settlement is granted preliminary approval as it meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval. The Settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable, and appears to be the product of arm's-length and informed negotiations and to treat all Class Members fairly. The Court finds that it is appropriate to notify the members of the

proposed Settlement Class of the terms of the proposed Settlement.

- 4. The Parties' proposed notice plan is constitutionally sound because individual notices will be mailed to all Class Members whose identities are known to the Parties, and such notice is the best notice practicable. The individual notices will also be sent to Class Members via email where an email address is available. The Parties' proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement (Exhibit A to the Settlement) are sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the Settlement, the need to submit a dispute if a Class Member disputes the total number of events worked during the class period, their right to object to the Settlement, their right to receive a payment under the Settlement or to elect not to participate in the Settlement as well as the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final approval hearing. Therefore, the Notice of Class Action Settlement is approved.
- 5. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering a settlement in this matter:
 - "Class," "Class Members," or "Settlement Class" shall mean "All the current and former employees of Safeway Inc. in the State of California during the period from July 13, 2014 through December 31, 2019 who worked in a retail store."

(Settlement, $\P 1(c)$.)

- 6. Plaintiff Kimberlee Faciane is appointed the Class Representative. The Court finds Plaintiff's counsel are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and hour class action litigation and have no conflicts of interest with absent Settlement Class Members, and that they adequately represented the interests of absent class members in the Litigation. Shaun Setareh and Thomas Segal of Setareh Law Group are appointed Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court appoints KCC Class Action Services, LLC to act as the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 8. Class Members will be bound by the Agreement unless they submit a timely and valid written request to be excluded from the Settlement within 60 days after mailing of the Class Notice by the Settlement Administrator or in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.
 - 9. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff shall file a motion for final approval of the

- 1	
1	settlement, for attorneys' fees and costs, and for any class representative service or enhancement award
2	for Plaintiff.
3	10. Defendant is directed to provide the Claims Administrator not later than 15 days after
4	the date of this Order, the following information regarding each Class Member (the "Class List"): the
5	(1) name, (2) last known home address, (3) last known telephone number, (4) the dates of employment
6	with Defendant during the Covered Period (i.e., July 13, 2014 through December 31, 2019), (5) Social
7	Security Number, and any other information required in accordance with the Agreement.
8	11. The Administrator is directed to mail the approved Class Notice by first-class mail to the
9	Class Members in accordance with the Agreement not later than 15 days after receipt of the Class List
10	from Defendant.
11	12. The Claims Administrator will create a settlement website which contains copies of all
12	papers and orders filed in connection with preliminary and final approval, including the final Settlement
13	Agreement and Complaint. These documents will be posted not later than the mailing of the Notice
14	Materials and will remain posted until the date of final approval.
15	13. A final approval hearing will be held on, at a.m./p.m.
16	in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court, to determine whether the Settlement should be granted
17	final approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class Members, and whether and to what exten
18	attorneys' fees, costs, and an enhancement award will be awarded. At that time, the Court will hear all
19	evidence and arguments necessary to evaluate the Settlement. Class Members and their counsel may
20	support or oppose the Settlement, if they so desire, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the
21	Class Notice, Event Dispute Form, and this Order.
22	14. The Parties shall submit a declaration from the Claims Administrator as to the status of
23	administration of the Class Notice no later than
24	15. As set forth in the Agreement, any Class Member may appear at the final approval
25	hearing in person or by his or her own attorney and show cause why the Court should not approve the
26	settlement, or object to the motion for awards of the Class Representative Enhancement Award and
27	Attorney's Fees and Costs. For any written comments or objections to be considered at the hearing, the
28	Class Member must submit a written objection in accordance with the deadlines set forth in the Class
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS

Notice, or as otherwise permitted by the Court. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the final approval hearing without 16. further notice to Class Members. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of, or in connection with, the Settlement. IT IS SO ORDERED. JAN 1 8 2022 DATED: Honorable Evilio Grillo ALAMEDA SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE